

Cooperative Extension Service

Resources and Environment:

Management Choices

Cooperative Extension Service, University of Massachusetts, United States Department of Agriculture and Counties cooperating

January 1, 1984

Food Production Capability
In New England

N. Eugene Engel*

In recent years, each of the New England states have considered or enacted legislation with the intent of preserving food production capability. Justification for these programs include the need to retain farmland for the use of future generations flavored with some words about self-sufficiency in food production. Proponents argue that the market system has failed to reflect the needs of future generations in terms of sufficient income to current farmers to enable them to continue in farming.

The economic market system may be used to find optimal allocation of resources for the present and over time as desired by society. However, in the case of farmland, the market system has not provided the desired resource allocation thus, there is a basis for government intervention.

The issue of alternative methods for retaining food production capability in New England has been debated by farmers, government officials and citizens. Discussion of alternative methods of preserving food production capability requires some measures of the New England agricultural industry.

Professor, Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Massachusetts/Amherst.

Three measures are (1) Cash Receipts from Farm Marketings, (2) Land in farms, and (3) Number of farms. Changes in these measures provide a basis for evaluating the effectiveness of various methods.

Cash Receipts From Farm Marketings

The actual value of cash receipts from farm marketings in New England increased from \$823 million in 1972 to \$1,602 million in 1982. However, the real value of cash receipts decreased from \$823 million to \$774 million when price levels were adjusted for inflation - a decrease of six percent. (See Table 1)

Land in Farms

In 1880, there were 21,510,000 acres of land in farms in New England. By 1980, the amount of land in farms had declined to 5,120,000, a 7% decrease from 1972. Connecticut and Massachusetts show a larger decrease but still less than two percent per year. The decrease in land in farms in New England is nearly one percent a year. However, that is ten percent in ten years, or nearly 500,000 acres. If current trends continue, New England will lose the equivalent of the New Hampshire Agricultural Economy by 1990. (See Table 2)

Number of Farms

In 1980, the number of farms in New England was estimated at 27,220. This is a decrease from the 206,900 farms in New England in 1880. More recently, the annual loss in number of farms since

1964 is estimated at 967 and since 1972 at 270. Current trends show a loss in number of farms of about one percent a year. By 1990, that is a decrease of 2700 farms and farm managers. Where are the food production managers of the future? (See Table 3)

Table 1
Deflated Cash Receipts From Farm Marketings

(Constitution of the Constitution)					and the second s			
	Actual			1972 lars	% CHANGE In 1972			
STATE		1982	1972	1982*	dollars			
	(Millions of Dollars)							
CT	\$169	\$309	\$169	\$149	-12			
ME	239	4 08	239	197	-18			
MA	160	341	160	165	+3			
NH	57	104	57	50	-12			
RI	21	33	21	16	-24			
VT	177	407	177	197	+11			
New Eng- land	\$823	\$1602	\$823	\$774	- 6			

*Calculated by dividing 1982 actual figures by GNP implicit price deflator, which is 206.88 when 1972 = 100. Agricultural Outlook, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., September 1983, p. 42.

			25.000 to 14.000 to 1		& CHANGE			
STATE	1880	1964	1972	1980	1972-1980			
'(Million Acres)								
CT	2.45	•72	• 53	. 45	-15			
ME	6.55	2.59	1.75	1 • 64	- 6			
MA .	3.40	• 94	.72	.65	-10			
NH	3.72	• 91	. 58	. 58	N.C.			
RI	.51	.10	.07	.06	-14			
VT	4.88	2.52	1.85	1.74	- 6			
New Eng- land	21.51	7.78	5.50	5.12	- 7			

Number of Farms, New England

					% CHANGE
STATE	1880		***************************************	1980	1972-1980
CT	30.6	Thous	ands)	3.8	-1 6
ME	64.0	13.0	7 • 8	8.0	+ 2
MA	38.4	8 • 7	5•9	5•2	-12
NH	32.2	5•0	2 • 7	3 • 2	+19
RI	6.2	1 • 0	• 7	• 7	N.C.
VT	35. 5	9 • 0	6•7	6•3	- 6
New Eng- land	206.9	42.7	28.3	27.2	- 4%

The real value of cash receipts from farm marketings in New England has declined about six percent during the last ten years. The amount of land in farms for the region is decreasing about one percent annually as is the number of farms. These are symptoms of a slowly declining industry.

The state legislature in each of the New England states has taken the political pulse and has enacted or is considering legislation to preserve food production capability in each state.

Alternative Methods of Preserving Food Production Capability in New England

Several of the states have enacted differential assessment laws which make possible the assessment of farmland at its agricultural use value rather than the fair market value. Differential assessment laws may be of three types: preferential assessment, deferred taxation and restrictive agreements. Preferential assessment of farmland allows the farmland to be assessed at use value and has very loose eligibility requirements and limited penalities for withdrawal. This type of legislation is attractive to participation and probably Least effective in retaining land in agricultural use.

Deferred taxation is a form of preferential assessment with tight eligibility requirements and specific penalties for withdrawal from the program, including repayment of deferred taxes. Restrictive agreements are essentially a contract between land owner and local taxing entity whereby the landowner agrees to keep land in farming in return for use value assessment on the land.

Other methods of preserving food production capability include. (1) purchase of development rights, (2) agricultural zoning, (3) circuit breaker income tax credits, (4) current use

AN EXAMPLE OF USE VALUE ASSESSMENT 100 ACRE FARM ASSESSED AT \$1,000/ACRE. TAX RATE \$25/\$1,000 TAX BILL \$2,500 40A FORAGE CROPLAND 40A PASTURE 10A WOODLAND 10A NECESSARY RELATED LAND USING FARMLAND ASSESSMENT VALUES. FORAGE CROPLAND 40A \times \$200 = \$8,000 $40A \times $100 = 4,000$ PASTURE WOODLAND $10A \times $75 =$ 10A x \$ 50 NECESSARY TOTAL FARMLAND ASSESSMENT VALUE\$13,250 ASSESSED VALUE x TAX RATE

 $13,250 \times $25 = 331

BEFORE

\$2,500

AFTER

331

TAX REDUCTION \$2,169

IN A TOWN WITH TWENTY FARMS, IF ALL TWENTY FARMS IN TOWN USE FARMLAND ASSESSMENT, MUST REDUCE TOWN TAX BASE \$86,750 PER FARM × 20 FARMS = \$1,735,000 \$100,000,000 - \$1,735,000 = \$98,265,000

TAX LEVY = \$2,500,000 = \$25.44 - NEW TAX RATE

FARMLAND OWNER TAX BILL

ASSESSED VALUE x TAX RATE = TAX BILL

 $$13.250 \times $25.44 = 337.08

BEFORE

\$2,500.00

AFTER 337.08

TAX REDUCTIONS \$2,162.92

HOME OWNER

ASSESSED VALUE x TAX RATE = TAX BILL

BEFORE \$100.000 \times \$25.00 = \$2500.

AFTER \$100.000 \times \$25.44 = $\frac{$2544}{}$

TAX INCREASE \$ 44

IMPACT OF FARMLAND ASSESSMENT PROGRAM
FOR THE FARMER
ANNUAL TAX REDUCTION \$2,162.92
FOR THE HOME OWNER
ANNUAL TAX INCREASE \$44.00

> valuation for estate and inheritance tax, (5) capital gains tax, (6) agricultural districts, and (7) tax stabilization programs. Many of these methods have an impact on local property taxes paid by farmland owners and other local taxpayers. The tax incidence of preservation programs can be estimated and considered before the programs are adopted.

Consequences of Adopting Alternative Methods

One approach to evaluating the impact of alternative land preservation methods is to estimate the change in local property taxes for the farmland owner and residential home owners. To facilitate this analysis, a "Tax Primer" may be used to help people understand how local property taxes are determined and to evaluate programs that have an impact on local property taxes.

TAX PRIMER

HOW ARE LOCAL PROPERTY TAXES FIGURED? NEED TO KNOW THREE THINGS.

TAX LEVY - TOWN BUDGET, SCHOOLS, POLICE, FIRE, TOWN OFFICIALS, ROADS, PARKS

TAX BASE = FAIR MARKET VALUE OF ALL PROPERTY IN TOWN.

TAX RATE = $\frac{\text{TAX LEVY}}{\text{TAX BASE}}$ = IN DOLLARS/\$1,000.

IF

TAX LEVY = \$ 2,500,000 TAX BASE = \$100,000,000

TAX RATE = $\frac{$2,500,000}{$100,000,000} = .025/DOLLAR$

\$25/\$1,000

IF HAVE 100 ACRE FARM ASSESSED AT \$1,000/ACRE (MARKET VALUE)

TAX DUE = TAX RATE \mathbf{x} ASSESSED VALUE

 $$25/$1,000 \times 100 (1,000)$

 $25 \times 100 = $2,500$

 $\frac{\text{TAX DUE $2,500}}{100 \text{ ACRES}} = $25/\text{ACRE TAX.}$

02129

ZELLNER, CARL T ELM ST CHARLESTOWN, MA (RE)

Bulk Third Class Permit



POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
ARUTULINEE
101 RDA

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

Cooperative Extension Service U.S. Department of Agriculture University of Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts 01003

In this example, when several farms were assessed at farmland values, the tax base in town was reduced, the tax levy stayed the same and the tax rate increased. The farmers paid less property tax since the tax burden was shifted to the remaining taxpayers. The intent of the farmland assessment program is to preserve the food production capability. The effectiveness of the program will be measured over time by change in deflated cash receipts, land in farms and number of farmers. To add your name to the mailing list for

this free newsletter, complete this cou-

pon and send it to the address indicated.

Name

Mail address

Town

Zip

Nature of interest in natural resources

Send this coupon and/or comments, suggestions, and questions to: Editor, Resources and Environment, 218 Draper Hall University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003

Rick Feldman
State Program Leader
CRD & Natural Resources

Cooperative Extension Service





Resources and Environment:

Management Choices

John H. Foster, Editor, Room 218, Draper Hall, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003





